

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.napto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,109	08/27/2001	Stanley D. Echols	8257/29	4289
7	590 05/21/2003			
Robert J. Veal BURR & FORMAN LLP Post Office Box 830719			EXAMINER	
			GAGLIARDI, ALBERT J	
Birmingham, AL 35283-0719			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	09/940,109	ECHOLS ET AL.
O [ ] 4 1	L	1
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Albert J. Gagliardi	2878
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re oly within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te. cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	August 2001	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	vance except for formal matt r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	n	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2001</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)  objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• ,
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	to have been received	
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li></ul>		nlication No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	·
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<b>Q</b>
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign language pro		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	., <b>—</b>	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
. Patent and Trademark Office "O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ad	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 4

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rahn (Potassium Iodide as a Chemical Actinometer for 254 nm Radiation: Use of Iodate as an Electron Scavenger) in view of Chalkley (US 3,710,109) and Brown *et al.* (US 6,067,855).

Regarding claim 1, *Rahn* discloses an apparatus for measuring UV fluence in a space comprising a containment vessel having a transmissively passive wall containing an actinometric fluid therein that is optically opaque at a known wavelength (abstract; p. 451, col. 1, par. 3).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/940,109

Art Unit: 2878

Rahn does not specifically identify the shape of the vessel.

Regarding the use of a spherical vessel, *Chalkley* discloses that vessels used for actinometric measurements can be of any of a variety of shapes such as a bottle like container that can be sealed wherein the shape may be cylindrical, square or other geometric shapes (col. 1, lines 49-52. Those skilled in the art appreciate that other functionally equivalent shapes of vessels (such as spherical ampoules -- see for example *Brown* at col. 5, lines 54-57 -- are well known and would have been an obvious design choice within the skill of a person of ordinary skill in the art depending on the needs of the particular application in view of their known functional equivalence.

Regarding claims 2-3, Rahn discloses that the solution is an aqueous solution of 0.6 M iodide and 0.1 M iodate in 0.01 M borate at pH 9.25 and is optically opaque at 254 nm and insensitive to radiation above 330 nm (abstract).

Regarding claim 4, Rahn discloses that the vessel is quartz (p. 451, col. 1, par. 3).

Regarding claim 5, although *Rahn* discloses that the vessel has a volume of about 2 cubic centimeters, absent some degree of criticality, the use of other volumes, such as about 1 cubic centimeter, would have been a matter of routine design choice depending on the needs of the particular application.

Regarding claim 6, absent some degree of criticality, a molar concentration of iodide and iodate of about 3:5 and ph 9.25 would have been a matter of routine design (if not inherent) property of the solution disclosed by *Rahn* depending on the needs of the application and the desire to optimize the solution according to the particular measurement parameters desired.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 7, although *Rahn* discloses that the measuring means configured to measure the absorbance is a spectrometer, those skilled in the art appreciate that other devices, such as a colorimeter, are known for the functional equivalent purpose of measuring absorption and would have been an obvious design choice.

Regarding claim 8, absent some degree of criticality and depending on the needs of the application, it is well known to use a plurality of devices for effecting a plurality of measurements over a larger volume.

Regarding claim 9, absent some degree of criticality, a neutral buoyancy would have been a matter of routine design choice (if not inherent) property of the solution disclosed by *Rahn* depending on the needs of the application and the desire to optimize the solution according to the particular measurement parameters desired.

Regarding claim 10, the apparatus as suggested according to claim 1 above suggest a method of determining UV fluence as recited according to claim 10 except for the specifically recited formula. Regarding the specific formula, although *Rahn* does not disclose the specific formula, *Rahn* discloses formulas related to dose response curves at various wavelengths including approximately 470 nm (Fig. 2), and other formulas and procedures for determining UV fluence (p 454, Discussion section) from which a variety of functionally equivalent formulas including the specifically recited formula can be derived. As such, the use of the specifically recited formula is viewed as a matter of routine design choice within the skill of a person of ordinary skill in the art depending on the needs of the particular application.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,109 Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Albert J. Gagliardi whose telephone number is (703) 305-0417.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Albert J. Gagliardi

Examiner

Art Unit 2878

AJG

May 13, 2003